UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,122	12/12/2003	Grant Kloster	42P16019	1922
59796 7 INTEL CORPO	7590 01/03/2007 ORATION		EXAMINER	
c/o INTELLEV	ATE, LLC		TSOY, ELENA	
P.O. BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1762	
			<u> </u>	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		01/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/735,122	KLOSTER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Elena Tsoy .	1762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address • Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status	. •				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 December 2a)     This action is FINAL. 2b)     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 2 to 2	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims		÷			
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or e  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed to the composition of the compo	election requirement.  Express or b) objected to by the Expression of the Expression of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s) is o	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
•					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/735,122

Art Unit: 1762

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-17, 25-31, drawn to a method to seal a porous dielectric, classified in class 427, subclass 255.4.
- II. Claims 18-24, drawn to a device, classified in class 428, subclass 319.9.

## Distinctness

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product such as a product made by exposing a porous dielectric to a phosgene coupling agent.

Claim 1 of Group I is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species of:

- (A) a coupling agent: (i) a coupling agent comprising acetyl chloride (Claims 2-3),
- (ii) a coupling agent comprising **succinyl chloride** (<u>Claims 4-5</u>), (iii) a coupling agent comprising **phosgene** (<u>Claims 4-5</u>), (iii) a coupling agent comprising *both* acetyl chloride and phosgene (<u>Claims 4-5</u>), (iv) a **silane** coupling agent comprising a thiol cap (<u>Claims 12-17, 25-31</u>);
- (B) a sealing agent: (i) a sealing agent comprising a crosslinking agent (Claims 8-9),(ii) a sealing agent comprising a capping agent (Claims 10-11).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of (A) and (B), even though this requirement is traversed.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Michael D. Plimier on December 20, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1762

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elena Tsoy whose telephone number is 571-272-1429. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:00AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-142323. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elena Tsoy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

December 25, 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER

.